

In the United States Court of Federal Claims
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 10-474V
Filed: January 27, 2011
Unpublished

BEI YE and FENG LIANG, as Parents and Natural *
Guardians of EDWARD LIANG, an infant, *

Petitioners, *

Decision on attorney fees and costs *

v. *

SECRETARY OF THE DEPARTMENT *
OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, *

Respondent. *

Mark T. Sadaka, Esq., Englewood, N.J., for Petitioner.

Lara A. Englund, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, D.C., for Respondent.

ATTORNEY’S FEES AND COSTS DECISION¹

GOLKIEWICZ, Special Master.

On January 27, 2011, the parties filed a Stipulation of Facts Concerning Attorneys’ Fees and Costs [hereinafter “Fee Stipulation”]. The Fee Stipulation states that petitioners submitted an informal request for fees and costs to respondent, to which respondent raised certain objections. Fee Stipulation at ¶ 2-3. Based on these objections, petitioners have amended their fee request to a total of \$3,864.75 for fees and costs. *Id.* at ¶ 3. The Fee Stipulation further states that the parties request a decision awarding fees and costs in this amount. *Id.* at ¶ 5. In compliance with General Order #9, petitioners state no costs were advanced by petitioners in this case. *Id.* at ¶ 4.

The court hereby awards the petitioners attorney fees and costs in the amount of \$3,864.75. **Specifically, petitioners are awarded a lump sum of \$3,864.75 in the form of a check payable jointly to petitioners and petitioners’ attorney.**

¹ The undersigned intends to post this decision on the website for the United States Court of Federal Claims, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (Dec. 17, 2002). **As provided by Vaccine Rule 18(b), each party has 14 days within which to request redaction “of any information furnished by that party (1) that is a trade secret or commercial or financial in substance and is privileged or confidential; or (2) that includes medical files or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy.” Vaccine Rule 18(b). Otherwise, the entire decision will be available to the public. Id. Any motion for redaction must be filed by no later than fourteen (14) days after filing date of this filing.** Further, consistent with the statutory requirement, a motion for redaction must include a proposed redacted decision, order, ruling, etc.

The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment accordingly.²

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Gary J. Golkiewicz
Gary J. Golkiewicz
Special Master

²Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties can expedite entry of judgment by each party filing a notice renouncing the right to seek review by a United States Court of Federal Claims judge. Furthermore, this amount is intended to cover all legal expenses. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, “advanced costs” as well as fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, 42 U.S.C.A. §300aa-15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) which would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. See generally, Beck v. Secretary of the Dept. of Health & Human Servs., 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir. 1991).