

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 05-1122V

Filed: August 9, 2011

**DARRYL A. TANG and SONALI C.
TANG, on behalf of ARMAN SEBASTIAN
TANG, a minor,**

Petitioners,

v.

**SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN
SERVICES**

Respondent.

UNPUBLISHED DECISION

Attorneys' Fees and Costs

ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS DECISION¹

On October 17, 2005, petitioners filed a claim for compensation on behalf of their son, Arman, in the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program ("the Program"). The undersigned issued a decision in this case on July 11, 2011.

¹ Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I intend to post this decision on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, § 205, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (codified as amended at 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2006)). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), a party has 14 days to identify and move to delete medical or other information, that satisfies the criteria in 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-12(d)(4)(B). Further, consistent with the rule requirement, a motion for redaction must include a proposed redacted decision. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within the requirements of that provision, I will delete such material from public access.

On August 8, 2011, petitioners' counsel filed a motion for attorneys' fees and costs. Petitioners' counsel indicated that respondent does not oppose petitioners' amended motion for attorneys' fees and costs. The petitioners' counsel request a lump sum of \$4,505.79, in the form of a check payable to petitioners and the law firm of petitioners' attorney, Khorrami Pollard & Abir, LLP, for attorneys' fees and costs.² This amount represents a sum to which respondent does not object.

The undersigned approves the requested amount for attorneys' fees and costs as reasonable. Accordingly, an award should be made in the form of a check payable jointly to petitioners and Shahin Khorrami of Khorrami Pollard & Amir LLP, in the amount of \$4,505.79. In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the court **SHALL ENTER JUDGMENT** in accordance with the terms of the parties' stipulation.³

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Patricia E. Campbell-Smith
Patricia E. Campbell-Smith
Chief Special Master

² In lieu of a statement from petitioners that complies with General Order No. 9, petitioner's counsel has represented that she will reimburse petitioners for any personal litigation costs compensable under the Vaccine Act from the award of attorneys' fees and costs in this case.

³ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment is expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.