

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

No. 10-298C

(Filed July 27, 2011)

MORI ASSOCIATES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE UNITED STATES,

Defendant.

ORDER

At today’s hearing on the motions concerning the solicitation cancellation and the help desk solicitation, the Court **GRANTED** plaintiff’s oral motion to amend the Second Supplemental Complaint to add as jurisdictional bases the violation of 48 C.F.R. §§ 1.602-2(b), 8.404(d), 8.405-2(d), and 8.405-4, finding that justice so required the amendment. *See* Rule 15(a)(2) of the Rules of the United States Court of Federal Claims. Given the procedural posture of this case, the inclusion of these provisions in plaintiff’s first brief defending against the jurisdictional challenge to the cancellation claim, *see* Pl.’s Resp. to Gov’t’s Cross-Mot. (Docket No. 86) at 4-5, 7-8, and the short time that has passed since the First Supplemental Complaint was filed, the Court finds the amendment would not prejudice defendant. Defendant may file a supplemental brief on or by **Friday, July 29, 2011**, addressing these alleged jurisdictional bases and discussing *Int’l Graphics, Div. of Moore Bus. Forms, Inc. v. United States*, 4 Cl. Ct. 186 (1983).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Victor J. Wolski

VICTOR J. WOLSKI

Judge