IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 04-663
Filed: October 24, 2011
Reissued as Redacted: November 9, 2011
(Not to be Published)

DECISION¹

On April 16, 2004, petitioner filed a Petition for Vaccine Compensation in the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program ("the Program"), ² alleging that various vaccinations injured her minor son, M.D.T. The information in the record, however, does not show entitlement to an award under the Program.

On October 17, 2011, petitioner moved for a decision on the merits of the petition, acknowledging that insufficient evidence exists to demonstrate entitlement to compensation.

¹ When this decision was originally issued, petitioner was informed that the decision would be posted in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, § 205, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (codified as amended at 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2006)). Petitioner was also notified that they could seek redaction pursuant to § 300aa-12(d)(4)(B); Vaccine Rule 18(b). Petitioner made a timely request for redaction and this decision is being reissued with the name of the minor child redacted to initials.

² The Program comprises Part 2 of the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755, codified as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-10 *et seq.* (hereinafter "Vaccine Act" or "the Act"). Hereafter, individual section references will be to 42 U.S.C. § 300aa of the Act.

To receive compensation under the Program, petitioner must prove either 1) that M.D.T. suffered a "Table Injury" – i.e., an injury falling within the Vaccine Injury Table – corresponding to one of M.D.T.'s vaccinations, or 2) that M.D.T. suffered an injury that was actually caused by a vaccine. See §§ 300aa-13(a)(1)(A) and 300aa-11(c)(1). An examination of the record did not uncover any evidence that M.D.T. suffered a "Table Injury." Further, the record does not contain a medical expert's opinion or any other persuasive evidence indicating that M.D.T.'s alleged injury was vaccine-caused.

Under the Act, petitioner may not be given a Program award based solely on the petitioner's claims alone. Rather, the petition must be supported by either medical records or by the opinion of a competent physician. § 300aa-13(a)(1). In this case, because there are insufficient medical records supporting petitioner's claim, a medical opinion must be offered in support. Petitioner, however, has offered no such opinion.

Accordingly, it is clear from the record in this case that petitioner failed to demonstrate either that M.D.T. suffered a "Table Injury" or that M.D.T.'s injuries were "actually caused" by a vaccination. **Thus, this case is dismissed for insufficient proof.** The Clerk shall enter judgment accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Denise K. Vowell
Denise K. Vowell
Special Master