## In the United States Court of Federal Claims office of special masters

No. 03-0680V

Filed: June 13, 2012 Not to be Published

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * *	
MARC SAFIAN and	*	
RITA SAFIAN, as parents of DANIEL SAFIAN,	*	Autism; Attorneys' Fees and Costs
	*	
	*	
Petitioners,	*	
	*	
٧.	*	
	*	
SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES	*	
	*	
	*	
Respondent.	*	
	*	
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		

## DECISION AWARDING ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS<sup>1</sup>

On June 13, 2012, petitioners filed an unopposed motion for award of final attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs in this case. Petitioners are entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to §§ 15(b) and (e)(1). Respondent has reviewed the motion and does not object.<sup>2</sup> Petitioners seek attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of \$7,370.00. In lieu of filing a Vaccine General Order #9 statement,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I intend to post this decision on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, § 205, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (codified as amended at 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2006)). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), a party has 14 days to identify and move to delete medical or other information, that satisfies the criteria in 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-12(d)(4)(B). Further, consistent with the rule requirement, a motion for redaction must include a proposed redacted decision. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within the requirements of that provision, I will delete such material from public access.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The motion for attorneys' fees and costs which was filed on May 29, 2012 indicates that respondent has no objection. However, due to some confusion between the parties, respondent's counsel required additional time to review the motion. Respondent's counsel communicated that respondent has no objection to the motion for attorneys' fees and costs to the court on June 6, 2012.

petitioners' counsel represents that he will reimburse petitioners any costs that petitioners personally incurred that are compensable under § 15 (e)(1).

The request for attorneys' fees and costs is granted. Petitioners are awarded reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to \$ 15(b) and (e)(1), as I find that the petition was brought in good faith and upon a reasonable basis, and the amounts requested are reasonable and appropriate.

## Pursuant to §15(e), I award a lump sum of \$7,370.00<sup>3</sup> to be paid in the form of a check payable jointly to the petitioners and petitioners' counsel, Parker and Waichman.

In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review filed pursuant to Appendix B of the Rules of the U.S. Court of Federal Claims, the clerk of the court shall enter judgment in accordance herewith.<sup>4</sup>

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

<u>s/Denise K. Vowell</u> Denise K. Vowell Special Master

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This amount is intended to cover all legal expenses incurred in this matter. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, "advanced costs" as well as fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, § 15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) that would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. <u>See generally Beck v. Sec'y of Dep't Health and Human Services</u>, 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir.1991).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Entry of judgment can be expedited by each party's filing of a notice renouncing the right to seek review. <u>See</u> Vaccine Rule 11(a).