

Richard's alleged injury was vaccine-caused.

Under the Act, petitioners may not be given a Program award based solely on the petitioners' claims alone. Rather, the petition must be supported by either medical records or by the opinion of a competent physician. § 13(a)(1). In this case, because there are insufficient medical records supporting petitioners' claim, a medical opinion must be offered in support. Petitioners, however, have offered no such opinion that supports a finding of entitlement.³

Accordingly, it is clear from the record in this case that petitioners have failed to demonstrate either that Richard suffered a "Table Injury" or that Richard's injuries were "actually caused" by a vaccination. **Thus, this case is dismissed for insufficient proof. The Clerk shall enter judgment accordingly.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s Denise K. Vowell
Denise K. Vowell
Special Master

³ As discussed during the status conference held on May 8, 2013, the supplemental expert report of Dr. Gerald Wooten (petitioners' exhibit 22) is insufficient to support petitioners' allegations of vaccine causation. The supplemental report was ordered because the initial expert report was clearly inadequate.