

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS  
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS  
No. 02-1300V  
Filed: February 4, 2011**

\*\*\*\*\*

CRAIG KNAPP and DANA ROBERTS,  
parents of ANDREW KNAPP, a minor,

Petitioners,

V.

SECRETARY OF THE DEPARTMENT  
OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

\*\*\*\*\*

\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*

Attorney Fees and Costs

**DECISION ON ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS<sup>1</sup>**

**Vowell**, Special Master:

In this case under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program,<sup>2</sup> I issued a decision on July 23, 2010, denying entitlement to compensation and dismissing this case. On February 3, 2011, the parties filed a stipulation for attorney fees and costs in this matter.<sup>3</sup>

I find that this petition was brought in good faith and that there existed a reasonable basis for the claim. Therefore, an award for fees and costs is appropriate, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(b) and (e)(1). Further, the proposed amount seems

---

<sup>1</sup> Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I intend to post this decision on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, § 205, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (codified as amended at 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2006)). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioners have 14 days to identify and move to delete medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will delete such material from public access.

<sup>2</sup> The applicable statutory provisions defining the program are found at 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-10 *et seq.* (2006).

<sup>3</sup> The parties entered into negotiations based on my ruling in *Doggett v. Sec'y, HHS*, No. 03-586V, 2011 WL 140485 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Dec. 29, 2010), obviating the need for the filing of an accounting of petitioners' attorney's time and expenses. Petitioners filed a General Order #9 Statement on November 4, 2010, averring they incurred no litigation costs.

reasonable and appropriate. **Accordingly, I hereby award the total \$5,984.50<sup>4</sup> as a lump sum in the form of a check payable jointly to petitioners, Craig Knapp and Dana Roberts, and petitioners' counsel, Thomas B. Powers.**

In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review filed pursuant to Appendix B of the Rules of the U.S. Court of Federal Claims, the clerk of the court shall enter judgment in accordance herewith.<sup>5</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**s/ Denise K. Vowell**  
**Denise K. Vowell**  
Special Master

---

<sup>4</sup> This amount is intended to cover all legal expenses incurred in this matter. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, "advanced costs" as well as fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) that would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. See *generally Beck v. Sec'y, HHS*, 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

<sup>5</sup> Entry of judgment can be expedited by each party's filing of a notice renouncing the right to seek review. See Vaccine Rule 11(a).