In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS No. 04-1080V

Filed: June 20, 2011 Not to be Published

DECISION DISMISSING PETITION AND AWARDING ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS ¹

On June 29, 2004, petitioner filed a Petition for Vaccine Compensation in the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program ["the Program"], ² alleging that Marcus

¹ Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I intend to post this decision on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, § 205, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (codified as amended at 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2006)). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has14 days to identify and move to delete medical or other information, that satisfies the criteria in § 300aa-12(d)(4)(B). Further, consistent with the rule requirement, a motion for redaction must include a proposed redacted decision. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within the requirements of that provision, I will delete such material from public access.

² The Program comprises Part 2 of the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755, codified as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-10 *et seq.* (hereinafter "Vaccine Act" or "the Act"). Hereafter, individual section references will be to 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2006).

Cetell ["Marcus"] was injured by a vaccine or vaccines listed on the Vaccine Injury Table. See § 14. The information in the record does not show entitlement to an award under the Program.

On June 15, 2011, the petitioner moved to dismiss her petition, acknowledging that insufficient evidence exists to demonstrate entitlement to compensation.

To receive compensation under the Program, petitioner must prove either 1) that Marcus suffered a "Table Injury" – i.e., an injury falling within the Vaccine Injury Table – corresponding to one of his vaccinations, or 2) that Marcus suffered an injury that was actually caused by a vaccine. See §§ 13(a)(1)(A) and 11(c)(1). Examination of the record does not disclose any evidence that Marcus suffered a "Table Injury." Further, the record does not contain a medical expert's opinion or any other persuasive evidence indicating that Marcus's alleged injury was vaccine-caused.

Under the Vaccine Act, a petitioner may not be awarded compensation based on the petitioner's claims alone. Rather, the petition must be supported by either the medical records or by a medical opinion. § 13(a)(1). In this case, the record does not contain medical records or a medical opinion sufficient to demonstrate that the vaccinee was injured by a vaccine. For these reasons, in accordance with § 12(d)(3)(A), the **petitioner's motion to dismiss is granted.**

The petitioner has also filed an unopposed motion for an award of attorney fees and costs in this case. Petitioner may receive reasonable attorney fees and costs pursuant to § 15(b) and (e)(1). Respondent has reviewed the motion and does not object. Accordingly, I hereby award the **total of \$7070.84**¹. This total represents \$150.00 in petitioner's personal costs, and \$6920.84 in attorney fees and costs. The payment shall be:

- 1. a lump sum of \$6920.84, in the form of a check payable jointly to petitioner, Katie M. Jaynes and petitioner's counsel, Thomas P. Gallagher/Gallagher and Gallagher Law Firm LLC, for attorney fees and costs; and
- 2. a lump sum of 150.00, in the form of a check payable to petitioner, Katie M. Jaynes, for out-of-pocket expenses personally incurred by petitioner.

The petition is dismissed for insufficient proof. Petitioner is awarded reasonable attorney fees and costs pursuant to § 15(b) and (e)(1), as I find that the petition was brought in good faith and upon a reasonable basis, and the amounts requested are reasonable and appropriate.

¹ This amount is intended to cover all legal expenses. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, "advanced costs" as well as fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, § 15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) that would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. See generally Beck v. Sec'y, HHS, 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review filed pursuant to Appendix B of the Rules of the U.S. Court of Federal Claims, the clerk of the court shall enter judgment in accordance herewith.³

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Denise K. Vowell
Denise K. Vowell
Special Master

³ Entry of judgment can be expedited by each party's filing of a notice renouncing the right to seek review. <u>See</u> Vaccine Rule 11(a).