

**In the United States Court of Federal Claims  
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS**

No. 04-110V

Filed: March 18, 2011

\*\*\*\*\*

KARA BRODEUR and  
ALAN BRODEUR, legal representatives  
of a minor child, ARLEN BRODEUR,

Petitioners,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND  
HUMAN SERVICES

Respondent.

\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*

Joint Stipulation of Dismissal;  
Vaccine Rule 21(a); No Judgment;  
Order Concluding Proceedings

\*\*\*\*\*

**ORDER CONCLUDING PROCEEDINGS<sup>1</sup>**

On March 14, 2011, the parties filed a Joint Stipulation of Dismissal in the above-captioned case.

Accordingly, pursuant to Vaccine Rule 21(a) the above-captioned case is hereby **dismissed without prejudice**. The Clerk of the Court is hereby instructed that a **judgment shall not enter** in the instant case pursuant to Vaccine Rule 21(a).

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

---

**Denise K. Vowell**  
Special Master

---

<sup>1</sup> Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I intend to post this decision on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, § 205, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (codified as amended at 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2006)). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), a party has 14 days to identify and move to delete medical or other information, that satisfies the criteria in § 300aa-12(d)(4)(B). Further, consistent with the rule requirement, a motion for redaction must include a proposed redacted decision. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within the requirements of that provision, I will delete such material from public access.