

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 06-705V

Filed: April 24, 2009

Not for publication

JANE R. DAUS,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF THE DEPARTMENT
OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Attorneys' Fees and Costs

Mark P. Friedlander, McLean, VA, for petitioner.

Lisa A. Watts, Washington, DC, for respondent.

DECISION AWARDING ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS¹

On March 16, 2009, petitioner filed an Application for Attorneys' Fees. Petitioner requests **\$34,041.54** in fees and costs. Respondent has no objection to this request. In compliance with General Order #9, petitioner states that she has not incurred any expenses. The court finds the amount requested by petitioner to be reasonable.

The clerk shall enter judgment for **\$34,041.54** and shall direct that the award be in the form of a check made jointly payable to petitioner and Mr. Mark. P. Friedlander in the amount of

¹ Because this decision contains a reasoned explanation for the special master's action in this case, the special master intends to post this decision on the United States Court of Federal Claims's website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (Dec. 17, 2002). Vaccine Rule 18(b) states that all decisions of the special masters will be made available to the public unless they contain trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged and confidential, or medical or similar information whose disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy. When such a decision is filed, petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to delete such information prior to the document's disclosure. If the special master, upon review, agrees that the identified material fits within the banned categories listed above, the special master shall delete such material from public access.

\$34,041.54.

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the court is directed to enter judgment herewith.²

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: Apr. 24, 2009

Laura D. Millman
Laura D. Millman
Special Master

² Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by each party's filing a notice renouncing the right to seek review.