

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 10-91 V

Filed: December 12, 2011

Not for Publication

YANINA PADILLA, as Parent and
Legal Representative of her
Minor Son, ALEXIS PADILLA,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF THE DEPARTMENT
OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*

Attorneys' Fees and Cost Decision
Based on Stipulation

Ramon Rodriguez, III, Richmond, VA, for petitioner.
Claudia B. Gangi, Washington, DC, for respondent.

MILLMAN, Special Master

DECISION AWARDING ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS¹

On December 12, 2011, the parties filed a stipulation in which they agreed to settle the attorneys' fees and costs in this case.

In accordance with the General Order #9 requirements, petitioner states that she did not incur any costs to pursue her petition.

¹ Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the special master's action in this case, the special master intends to post this unpublished decision on the United States Court of Federal Claims's website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (Dec. 17, 2002). Vaccine Rule 18(b) states that all decisions of the special masters will be made available to the public unless they contain trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged and confidential, or medical or similar information whose disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy. When such a decision is filed, petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact such information prior to the document's disclosure. If the special master, upon review, agrees that the identified material fits within the banned categories listed above, the special master shall redact such material from public access.

The court finds the amount to be reasonable, adopts the parties' stipulation, and awards compensation in the amount set forth therein. Pursuant to the stipulation, the court awards **\$28,587.00**, representing reimbursement for attorneys' fees and costs. The award shall be in the form of one check made jointly payable to petitioner and Rawls, McNelis & Mitchell, P.C., in the amount of **\$28,587.00**.

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the court is directed to enter judgment herewith.²

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 12, 2011

/s/ Laura D. Millman
Laura D. Millman
Special Master

² Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by each party's filing a notice renouncing the right to seek review.