

# In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 10-273 V

Filed: August 16, 2011

Not for Publication

\*\*\*\*\*

JULIET R. LEMASTER, \*

Petitioner, \*

v. \*

SECRETARY OF THE DEPARTMENT \*  
OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, \*

Respondent. \*

Decision on Attorneys' Fees & Costs

\*\*\*\*\*

Sheila A. Bjorklund, Minneapolis, MN, for petitioner.

Linda S. Renzi, Washington, DC, for respondent.

MILLMAN, Special Master

### DECISION AWARDING ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS<sup>1</sup>

On August 12, 2011, the parties filed the attached stipulation, in which they agreed to settle attorneys' fees and costs in this case.

Pursuant to General Order #9, petitioner states that she has not incurred any costs in pursuit of her claim.

---

<sup>1</sup> Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the special master's action in this case, the special master intends to post this unpublished decision on the United States Court of Federal Claims's website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (Dec. 17, 2002). Vaccine Rule 18(b) states that all decisions of the special masters will be made available to the public unless they contain trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged and confidential, or medical or similar information whose disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy. When such a decision is filed, petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact such information prior to the document's disclosure. If the special master, upon review, agrees that the identified material fits within the banned categories listed above, the special master shall redact such material from public access.

The court hereby adopts the parties' stipulation and awards compensation in the amount and on the terms set forth therein. Pursuant to the stipulation, the court awards **\$20,440.00** in the form of a check payable to petitioner and petitioner's law firm, Lommen Abdo Law Firm, representing payment for attorneys' fees and costs.

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the court is directed to enter judgment herewith.<sup>2</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: August 16, 2011

/s/ Laura D. Millman  
Laura D. Millman  
Special Master

---

<sup>2</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by each party's filing a notice renouncing the right to seek review.