

**In the United States Court of Federal Claims**  
**OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS**  
**No. 04-1752V**  
**Filed: March 2, 2011**

\* \* \* \* \*

L. SCOTT WHITAKER and	*	Petitioners' Motion for a Decision
MARJORIE WHITAKER, parents of	*	Dismissing the Petition; Insufficient Proof
Lewis Edwin Whitaker, III, a minor,	*	of Causation; Vaccine Act Entitlement;
	*	Denial Without Hearing
Petitioners,	*	
	*	
v.	*	
SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND	*	
HUMAN SERVICES	*	
Respondent.	*	
	*	

\* \* \* \* \*

**DECISION<sup>1</sup>**

On December 8, 2004, petitioners filed a “Short-Form Autism Petition For Vaccine Compensation” in the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program (“the Program”).<sup>2</sup> In effect, by use of the special “Short-Form” developed for use in the context of the Omnibus Autism Proceeding, the petition alleges that various vaccinations injured Lewis. On April 1, 2009, petitioners filed medical records in support of their claim. The information in the record, however, does not show entitlement to an award under the Program.

On February 25, 2011, petitioners filed a “Motion for a Decision Dismissing Their Petition.” Petitioners assert in the Motion that under the current applicable law, petitioners will

---

<sup>1</sup> Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I intend to post this decision on the United States Court of Federal Claims’ website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, § 205, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (codified as amended at 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2006)). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), a party has 14 days to identify and move to delete medical or other information, that satisfies the criteria in § 300aa-12(d)(4)(B). Further, consistent with the rule requirement, a motion for redaction must include a proposed redacted decision. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within the requirements of that provision, I will delete such material from public access.

<sup>2</sup>The Program comprises Part 2 of the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755, codified as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-10 *et seq.* (hereinafter “Vaccine Act” or “the Act”). Hereafter, individual section references will be to 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2006).

be unable to demonstrate entitlement to compensation in the Program. Petitioner's Motion at 1. Accordingly, petitioners request that the undersigned dismiss the above-captioned petition. *Id.*

To receive compensation under the Program, petitioners must prove either 1) that Lewis suffered a "Table Injury" – i.e., an injury falling within the Vaccine Injury Table – corresponding to one of his vaccinations, or 2) that Lewis suffered an injury that was actually caused by a vaccine. See §§ 300aa-13(a)(1)(A) and 300aa-11(c)(1). An examination of the record did not uncover any evidence that Lewis suffered a "Table Injury." Further, the record does not contain a medical expert's opinion or any other persuasive evidence indicating that Lewis' alleged injury was vaccine-caused.

Under the Act, the petitioners may not be given a Program award based solely on the petitioners' claims alone. Rather, the petition must be supported by either medical records or by the opinion of a competent physician. § 300aa-13(a)(1). In this case, because the medical records are not sufficient to support petitioners' claim, a medical opinion must be offered in support. Petitioners, however, have offered no such opinion.

Accordingly, it is clear from the record in this case that petitioners have failed to demonstrate either that Lewis suffered a "Table Injury" or that his injuries were "actually caused" by a vaccination. **Thus, this case is dismissed for insufficient proof. In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review of this Decision, the Clerk shall enter judgment accordingly.<sup>3</sup>**

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

---

George L. Hastings, Jr.  
Special Master

---

<sup>3</sup> The undersigned notes that if a petitioner elects to file a Petition for Fees and Costs pursuant to § 300aa-15(e), based on current case law a petitioner will need to first establish proof of vaccination and the timely filing of the Petition for Vaccine Compensation, see § 300aa-16(a)(2) and 16(b), prior to any award for attorneys' fees and costs being granted . See Brice v. Secretary of Health and Human Services, 358 F.3d 865, 869 (2004), citing Martin v. Secretary of Health and Human Services, 62 F.3d 1403, 1406 (1995).