

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 08-232V

Filed: September 7, 2010

RICHARD KIMBLE STILES,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*

Decision on Attorney Fees and Costs

DECISION¹

On September 1, 2010, the parties to the above-captioned case filed a Stipulation Regarding Attorney’s Fees and Costs, memorializing their agreement as to the appropriate amount of attorney’s fees and costs in this case. As discussed in the Stipulation, petitioner provided invoices pertaining to all fees and costs, to which respondent raised objections to certain items. Subsequently, petitioner amended his request and both parties now request a decision awarding \$16,732.94 in fees and costs. See Stipulation, ¶ 3, ¶ 5. Also within this Stipulation and pursuant to General Order #9, petitioner’s counsel represents that petitioner has incurred no reimbursable costs that “have not otherwise been reimbursed herein or within the settlement.” See Stipulation, ¶ 4.

The court hereby ADOPTS the parties’ said Stipulation and awards compensation in the amount and on the terms set forth therein. Specifically, petitioner is awarded attorney’s fees and costs in the amount of \$16,732.94, in the form of a check payable jointly to petitioner and petitioner’s counsel of record.

The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment accordingly.²

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Gary J. Golkiewicz
Special Master

1 The undersigned intends to post this decision on the United States Court of Federal Claims’ website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (Dec. 17, 2002). As provided by Vaccine Rule 18(b), each party has 14 days within which to request redaction “of any information furnished by that party (1) that is a trade secret or commercial or financial in substance and is privileged or confidential; or (2) that includes medical files or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy.” Vaccine Rule 18(b). Otherwise, the entire decision will be available to the public. Id.

2 Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties can expedite entry of judgment by each party filing a notice renouncing the right to seek review by a United States Court of Federal Claims judge.