

In the United States Court of Federal Claims
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 11-162V
Filed: January 3, 2012
Unpublished

LAUREL BRISHEL PRICHARD,	*	
	*	
Petitioner,	*	
	*	Denial; Neurological injuries;
v.	*	Human papillomavirus vaccine, HPV
	*	
SECRETARY OF THE DEPARTMENT	*	
OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,	*	
	*	
Respondent.	*	

William P. Ronan, III, The Ronan Law Firm, Overland Park, KS, for Petitioner.
Voris E. Johnson, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, D.C., for Respondent.

DECISION¹

GOLKIEWICZ, Special Master.

On March 16, 2011, the Petition in this matter was filed alleging that petitioner suffered a variety of injuries, mostly neurological, due to the human papillomavirus vaccines she received on March 25, 2008, and May 13, 2008. Medical records were filed with the Petition and respondent filed her Rule 4(c) Report on June 14, 2011, which recommended against awarding compensation. On December 29, 2011, petitioner filed a Motion for a Decision Dismissing her Petition. P Motion for Decision Dismissing her Petition, filed Dec. 29, 2011. Respondent communicated to the undersigned’s office on December 30, 2011, that this Motion will not be opposed.

¹ The undersigned intends to post this decision on the website for the United States Court of Federal Claims, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (Dec. 17, 2002). **As provided by Vaccine Rule 18(b), each party has 14 days within which to request redaction “of any information furnished by that party (1) that is a trade secret or commercial or financial in substance and is privileged or confidential; or (2) that includes medical files or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy.” Vaccine Rule 18(b). Otherwise, the entire decision will be available to the public. Id. Any motion for redaction must be filed by no later than fourteen (14) days after filing date of this filing. Further, consistent with the statutory requirement, a motion for redaction must include a proposed redacted decision, order, ruling, etc.**

Upon petitioner's admissions in the Motion and a review of the record, petitioner fails to provide preponderant evidence that the vaccinations petitioner received on March 25, 2008, and May 13, 2008, caused her injuries. Further, the Act at 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-13(a) provides that the special master "may not make a finding based on the claims of a petitioner alone, unsubstantiated by medical records or by medical opinion." Currently, this Petition remains unsupported by either medical records or medical opinion. Thus, in accordance with section 13(a) the undersigned has no option but to **deny** petitioner's claim for want of proof.

The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.²

s/ Gary J. Golkiewicz
Gary J. Golkiewicz
Special Master

² This document constitutes a final "decision" in this case pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-12(d)(3)(A). Unless a motion for review of this decision is filed within 30 days, the Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment in accord with this decision.