

In the United States Court of Federal Claims
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 99-206V
Filed: February 22, 2012
Unpublished

LINDSAY KIRSCHNER, *
*
Petitioner, *
* Attorneys’ fees and costs
v. *
*
SECRETARY OF THE DEPARTMENT *
OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, *
*
Respondent. *

Clifford J. Shoemaker, Shoemaker and Associates, Vienna, VA, for Petitioner.
Heather L. Pearlman, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, D.C., for Respondent.

ATTORNEY’S FEES AND COSTS DECISION¹

GOLKIEWICZ, Special Master.

Previously, petitioner filed an Application for Attorneys’ Fees and Costs on February 8, 2012. In compliance with General Order #9, petitioner filed a Statement attached to the February 8, 2012 Application, noting that petitioner incurred \$120.00 of costs personally. On February 22, 2012, the petitioner contacted the undersigned’s office regarding her application for attorneys’ fees and costs in this case. Through informal discussions with respondent, petitioner has agreed to reduce her request for attorneys’ fees and costs to \$110,120.00. Respondent communicated to petitioner and the undersigned’s office that respondent does not object to an award of fees and costs in this amended amount.

The court hereby awards the petitioner attorneys’ fees and costs in the amount of \$110,120.00. **Specifically, petitioner is awarded a lump sum of \$110,000.00 in the form of a check payable jointly to petitioner and petitioner’s attorney; petitioner is also awarded a lump sum of \$120.00 in the form of a check payable to petitioner individually.**

¹ The undersigned intends to post this decision on the website for the United States Court of Federal Claims, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (Dec. 17, 2002). As provided by Vaccine Rule 18(b), each party has 14 days within which to request redaction “of any information furnished by that party (1) that is a trade secret or commercial or financial in substance and is privileged or confidential; or (2) that includes medical files or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy.” Vaccine Rule 18(b). Otherwise, the entire decision will be available to the public. Id. Any motion for redaction must be filed by no later than fourteen (14) days after filing date of this filing. Further, consistent with the statutory requirement, a motion for redaction must include a proposed redacted decision, order, ruling, etc.

The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment accordingly.²

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Gary J. Golkiewicz
Gary J. Golkiewicz
Special Master

²Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties can expedite entry of judgment by each party filing a notice renouncing the right to seek review by a United States Court of Federal Claims judge. Furthermore, this amount is intended to cover all legal expenses. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, “advanced costs” as well as fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, 42 U.S.C.A. §300aa-15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) which would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. See generally, Beck v. Secretary of the Dept. of Health & Human Servs., 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir. 1991).