## In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS No. 08-0174V Filed: February 25, 2011

Re-issued for Redaction: April 6, 2011

N.M.G., by his

Parent, DENISE GINGOLA

Petitioners, \* Joint Stipulation of Dismissal;

Vaccine Rule 21(a); No JudgmentOrder Concluding Proceedings

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND

٧.

HUMAN SERVICES

Respondent. \*

## ORDER CONCLUDING PROCEEDINGS<sup>1</sup>

On February 4, 2011, the parties filed a Joint Stipulation of Dismissal in the above-captioned case.

Accordingly, pursuant to Vaccine Rule 21 (a) the above-captioned case is hereby **dismissed without prejudice**. The Clerk of the Court is hereby instructed that a **judgment shall not enter** in the instant case pursuant to Vaccine Rule 21(a).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Gary J. Golkiewicz Special Master

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Because this unpublished Order contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I intend to post this Order on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, § 205, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (codified as amended at 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2006)). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), a party has 14 days to identify and move to delete medical or other information, that satisfies the criteria in § 300aa-12(d)(4)(B). Further, consistent with the rule requirement, a motion for redaction must include a proposed redacted decision. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within the requirements of that provision, I will delete such material from public access.