

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS**

**OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS**

(Filed: November 13, 2006)

DO NOT PUBLISH

DIANE M. SOHN,	)	
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	
v.	)	No. 99-0580V
	)	Attorneys' Fees; Attorneys' Costs
SECRETARY OF	)	Personal Expenses
HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	
	)	

**DECISION ON ATTORNEYS' FEES, ATTORNEYS' COSTS  
AND PERSONAL EXPENSES<sup>1</sup>**

Petitioner, Diane Sohn (Ms. Sohn), seeks an award of attorneys' fees, attorneys' costs and personal expenses as defined by General Order No. 9 for an action that she pursued under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program (Program).<sup>2</sup> Ms. Sohn filed an initial application for attorneys' fees and costs on October 23, 2006. *See Application for Attorneys' Fees and Costs (Fee Petition)*, filed October 23, 2006. She requested \$23,584.32. *See Fee Petition, Exhibit 1 at 1*. She represented that she incurred \$40.08 in personal expenses as defined by General Order No. 9. *See Fee Petition, Exhibit 2 at 2*.

---

<sup>1</sup> As provided by Vaccine Rule 18(b), each party has 14 days within which to request redaction "of any information furnished by that party (1) that is trade secret or commercial or financial information and is privileged or confidential, or (2) that are medical files and similar files the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy." Vaccine Rule 18(b). Otherwise, "the entire decision" will be available to the public. *Id.*

<sup>2</sup> The statutory provisions governing the Vaccine Program are found in 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-10 *et seq.* For convenience, further reference will be to the relevant section of 42 U.S.C.

Respondent objected informally to certain aspects of Ms. Sohn's Fee Petition. Ms. Sohn acceded apparently to respondent's objections. *See* Status Report, filed November 13, 2006, at 1. On November 13, 2006, Ms. Sohn amended her Fee Petition. *See id.* Ms. Sohn requests now \$23,494.32. *See id.* Respondent does not object apparently to Ms. Sohn's amended Fee Petition. *See id.*

Ms. Sohn did not receive Program compensation. Nevertheless, the statute enacting the Program accords discretion to the special master to "award an amount of compensation to cover" Ms. Sohn's "reasonable attorneys' fees and other costs" as long as "the special master or court determines that" Ms. Sohn possessed "a reasonable basis for the claim" and that Ms. Sohn filed the petition "in good faith." § 300aa-15(e)(1); *see, e.g., Di Roma v. Secretary of HHS*, 1993 WL 496981 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Nov. 18, 1993). As the United States Supreme Court has cautioned in cases involving other fee-shifting schemes, the special master's "discretion is not without limit." *Blanchard v. Bergeron*, 489 U.S. 87, 89 n.1 (1989). Thus, absent "special circumstances," the special master "should ordinarily" award attorneys' fees and costs to an unsuccessful petitioner. *Id.*, citing *Newman v. Piggie Park Enterprises*, 390 U.S. 400, 402 (1968); *Hensley v. Eckerhart*, 461 U.S. 424, 429 (1983).

The special master has considered carefully Ms. Sohn's amended Fee Petition. Based upon his experience, the special master determines that the amended Fee Petition is appropriate. Therefore, in the absence of a motion for review filed under RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of court shall enter judgment in Ms. Sohn's favor for \$23,494.32 in attorneys' fees, attorneys' costs and personal expenses as defined by General Order No. 9. The judgment shall reflect that Ms. Sohn's attorney of record, Clifford J. Shoemaker, Esq. (Mr. Shoemaker), may collect \$23,454.24 from Ms. Sohn.<sup>3</sup> Under Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing the right to seek review.

s/John F. Edwards  
John F. Edwards  
Special Master

---

<sup>3</sup> \$23,494.32 - \$40.08 for Ms. Sohn's personal expenses as defined by General Order No. 9.