

(VIII); 42 C.F.R. § 100.3 (a)(I)-(VIII). By Decision dated February 29, 2008, the undersigned concluded that the parties' stipulation was appropriate and a Program award was made to petitioner.

On March 24, 2008, petitioner filed an Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs (Fee App.). On April 17, 2008, respondent's counsel, notified the undersigned that she did not object to the amount requested for attorneys' fees and costs. Petitioners' counsel seeks an award of \$3,410.84 in attorneys' fees and costs which were incurred by petitioners' first counsel, the law firm of Wesley, McGrail & Wesley. In addition, petitioners' counsel seeks an award of \$47,989.41, of which \$35,337.50 are attorneys' fees and \$12,651.91 are attorneys' costs. Petitioners themselves incurred no costs.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. 42 U.S.C. § 300 aa-15(e). Based on the reasonableness of petitioners' request and on respondent's counsel's agreement not to object to petitioners' counsel's fee request, the undersigned **GRANTS** the attorneys' fees and costs as outlined in Petitioners' Application for Fees and Costs.

The undersigned awards petitioner \$51,400.25 in fees and costs.

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the court **SHALL ENTER JUDGMENT** in petitioner's favor in the amount of \$51,400.25 in attorneys' fees and attorneys' costs.³ The judgment shall reflect that the Maglio Christopher & Toale law firm may collect \$47,989.41 from petitioners and the Wesley, McGrail & Wesley firm may collect \$3,410.84 from petitioners.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Patricia E. Campbell-Smith
Patricia E. Campbell-Smith
Special Master

³ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment is expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.