## In the United States Court of Federal Claims office of special masters

## No. 03-0977V

Filed: August 23, 2011 Not to be Published

CANDACE CAPO, parent of RYAN CAPO, a minor,

Petitioner,

Petitioner,

Petitioner's Motion for a Decision Dismissing the Petition; Insufficient Proof of Causation; Vaccine Act Entitlement; Denial Without Hearing SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

## **DECISION** 1

On May 5, 2003, petitioner filed a Petition For Vaccine Compensation in the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program ("the Program"), alleging that various vaccinations injured Ryan. The information in the record, however, does not show entitlement to an award under the Program.

On August 22, 2011, petitioner moved for a decision on the merits of the petition, acknowledging that insufficient evidence exists to demonstrate entitlement to compensation.

<sup>1</sup> Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I intend to post this decision on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, § 205, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (codified as amended at 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2006)). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to delete medical or other information, that satisfies the criteria in § 300aa-12(d)(4)(B). Further, consistent with the rule requirement, a motion for redaction must include a proposed redacted decision. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within the requirements of that provision, I will delete such material

from public access.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Program comprises Part 2 of the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755, codified as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-10 *et seq.* (hereinafter "Vaccine Act" or "the Act"). Hereafter, individual section references will be to 42 U.S.C. § 300aa of the Act.

To receive compensation under the Program, petitioner must prove either 1) that Ryan suffered a "Table Injury" – i.e., an injury falling within the Vaccine Injury Table – corresponding to one of Ryan's vaccinations, or 2) that Ryan suffered an injury that was actually caused by a vaccine. See §§ 300aa-13(a)(1)(A) and 300aa-11(c)(1). An examination of the record did not uncover any evidence that Ryan suffered a "Table Injury." Further, the record does not contain a medical expert's opinion or any other persuasive evidence indicating that Ryan's alleged injury was vaccine-caused.

Under the Act, petitioner may not be given a Program award based solely on the petitioner's claims alone. Rather, the petition must be supported by either medical records or by the opinion of a competent physician. § 300aa-13(a)(1). In this case, because there are insufficient medical records supporting petitioner's claim, a medical opinion must be offered in support. Petitioner, however, has offered no such opinion.

Accordingly, it is clear from the record in this case that petitioner has failed to demonstrate either that Ryan suffered a "Table Injury" or that Ryan's injuries were "actually caused" by a vaccination. Thus, this case is dismissed for insufficient proof. The Clerk shall enter judgment accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Patricia E. Campbell-Smith
Patricia E. Campbell-Smith
Chief Special Master