

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

Office of the Special Masters

Filed: May 27, 2011

No. 10-874V

EDMUND A. ESCOBAR,)	
)	
)	Notice of Dimissal;
)	Vaccine Rule 21(a);
Petitioner,)	No Judgment; Order Concluding
)	Proceedings
v.)	
)	
SECRETARY OF THE DEPARTMENT)	
OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES.)	
)	
Respondent.)	
)	

ORDER CONCLUDING PROCEEDINGS¹

On May 19, 2011, during a digitally recorded status conference, petitioner indicated that he no longer wished to proceed with the above-captioned case.

Accordingly, pursuant to Vaccine Rule 21(a) the above-captioned case is hereby dismissed without prejudice. The Clerk of the Court is hereby instructed that a judgment shall not enter in the instant case pursuant to Vaccine Rule 21(a).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Patricia E. Campbell-Smith
Chief Special Master

¹ Because this decision contains a reasoned explanation for the undersigned's action in this case, the undersigned intends to post this decision on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (codified as amended at 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2006)). As provided by Vaccine Rule 18(b), each party has 14 days within which to request redaction "of any information furnished by that party: (1) that is a trade secret or commercial or financial in substance and is privileged or confidential; or (2) that includes medical files or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy." Vaccine Rule 18(b). Otherwise, "the entire" decision will be available to the public. Id.