## In the United States Court of Federal Claims

FILED

MAR. 1, 2022

U.S. COURT OF
FEDERAL CLAIMS

In re: Robert Michael Ibraham No. 21-11262

## FINAL ORDER

On January 11, 2012, Robert Michael Ibraham pleaded guilty to nine felonies before the Supreme Court of Suffolk County, New York. *See In the Matter of Robert Michael Ibraham, an attorney and counselor-at-law*, 104 A.D.3d 184 (N.Y. App. Div. 2013). On March 13, 2013, the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court of the State of New York entered an order disbarring Mr. Ibraham from practice and striking his name from the roll of attorneys and counselors-at-law, effective January 11, 2012. *Id*.

Mr. Ibraham has been a member of this Court's bar since June 19, 2006 and has no pending cases. Under Rule 83.2(c)(1) of the Rules of the United States Court of Federal Claims, an attorney admitted to practice before this Court may be disciplined on the ground of a conviction by a court of a serious crime. Under Rule 83.2(c)(2), an attorney may be disciplined for an act or omission that results in the attorney's disbarment or suspension by another court. Finally, under Rule 83.2(c)(4), an attorney may be disciplined for failure to comply with the terms of Rule 83.2, including failure to notify the Court of disbarment within 14 days, as required by Rule 83.2(e)(1)(B).

On January 12, 2022, the Court issued an order directing Mr. Ibraham to show cause within 30 days why he should not be disbarred from the practice of law before the United States Court of Federal Claims. ECF No. 3. Mr. Ibraham submitted his response to the Order to Show Cause on January 19, 2022. ECF No. 5. Mr. Ibrahim's response indicates that he has not yet been readmitted to practice in New York. Rule 83.1(b)(1) sets as a qualification for admission to practice before the Court "member[ship] in good standing" of the bar of a State. Mr. Ibrahim does not satisfy this requirement. Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Robert Michael Ibraham shall be disbarred from practice before the United States Court of Federal Claims effective, *nunc pro tunc*, January 11, 2012, the date of his disbarment in New York. *See* Rule 83.2(h)(4). Given the facts outlined in his response, Mr. Ibrahim is invited to reapply for reinstatement when he is readmitted to practice in New York. The filing of any petition for reinstatement will be governed by Rule 83.2(k)(1).

RICHARD A HERTLING

Judge

EDWARD H. MEYERS

Judge

MARGARET M. SWEENEY

Senior Judge